

The Sun.

TUESDAY, MAY 21, 1890.

London office of The Sun, Hotel Victoria, Northumberland avenue, address AMERICAN BRASS, sole representative in London.

Advertisements for THE WEEKLY SUN, issued to-morrow morning, must be handed in this evening before six o'clock.

A Scandal That May Be Averted.

The Springfield Republican, wronged in so many things and crankily disposed in so many other things, is as true as a trivet in its remarks about the reported intention of Gen. HARRISON to put his friend MILLER on the bench of the Supreme Court. Such an appointment, as our little contemporary observes, "would seem to have in it more of politics than of attention to the public interest."

It would, indeed! And the politics involved would be politics of the worst sort, that is to say, purely selfish and personal politics—the rape of an opportunity to secure a life office and a life salary for an intimate friend and business partner. We have yet to hear of anybody who pretends to believe that Mr. MILLER is qualified for the highest judicial office at the disposal of the President. His selection for the post of Attorney-General strained propriety to the last stretch. His elevation to the Supreme Court would be the greatest scandal of the sort since the nomination of his Attorney-General and chum, GEORGE H. WILLIAMS, for the same office.

Happily, this scandal may yet be averted. The probability is that the rumor that Gen. BENJAMIN HARRISON contemplated the nomination of WILLIAM HENRY HARRISON MILLER for Associate Justice of the Supreme Court was allowed to escape the White House in order to test public sentiment and ascertain how such an appointment would be regarded. The response from the press up to date is not calculated to encourage the President to proceed.

What Gen. HARRISON needs more than anything else for the property and good reputation of his Administration is a disinterested friend who will fearlessly tell him that he is going wrong. It was understood that he put Mr. MILLER into the Department of Justice for the express purpose of having just such an adviser at his elbow. We are sorry to say that he has proved a bad adviser; otherwise the long string of appointments on account of the HARRISON family would never have gone on record to the President's discredit. No, WILLIAM HENRY HARRISON MILLER has not done his duty in his present post.

And this strengthens our conviction that Mr. MILLER has neither the force of character nor the moral ascendancy that would make him useful to the country on the Supreme Court bench.

Execution by Electricity.

In various courts of this State trials have been proceeding against prisoners charged with murder, the penalty of whose crime, on conviction, is death by electricity. In the city of Buffalo, on Tuesday last, WILLIAM KEMMER was sentenced to execution by the new method. In this city it has been found, by those charged with the prosecution of murder cases, that during the last few weeks it has been much more difficult to secure jurors than it was some months ago. While in one branch of the General Sessions occupied with the trial of a prisoner charged with murder before January 1 a jury may be secured with little delay, in another branch of the same court, occupied with the trial of a prisoner charged with a similar offense since January 1, the impelling of a jury is a slow, tiresome, and very difficult undertaking.

Unquestionably a strong prejudice exists among the generality of jurors against the infliction of death by electricity. It is not so much the fear that the new method is not sufficiently humane or the belief that the present method ought to be adhered to, as a grave, unaccountable doubt which seems to fill the minds of many that the use of electricity as a means of destroying life will not meet the expectations of those who have secured its substitution for the former method. A large humanity, which is not at all discreditable, characterizes the average New York juror when called upon to pass judgment on the fate of a prisoner, however fearful his crime and to whatever extent accompanied by incidents which deprive the perpetrator of sympathy. New York juries impelled in the criminal courts display a salutary reluctance to be the mere mechanical recorders of a verdict which a prosecuting officer may claim to maintain the law suggests. They seem to be stilled, where the life of a human being is at stake, with a solemn sense of the responsibilities of the oath they have taken.

There is, as we understand, no murder case awaiting trial in this county where the proof is deemed to be so overwhelming and conclusive as to make probable the conviction of the prisoner. Six murderers are awaiting execution in the Tombs, but all of them are to be tried by a jury.

Whoever becomes intoxicated by voluntarily drinking intoxicating liquors shall be deemed guilty of the crime of drunkenness, and shall be punished as follows: For the first offense, a fine of not less than \$10 nor more than \$40; or by imprisonment for not less than ten nor more than thirty days; for the second offense, imprisonment for not less than thirty nor more than sixty days; or by a fine of not less than \$20 nor more than \$50; for the third and all subsequent offenses, by imprisonment for not less than sixty nor more than ninety days.

A serious purpose to enforce this law is shown by the authorities, the police court now of the St. Paul and Minneapolis papers will be good reading. It is unfortunate that the penalty for the second offense is alternative. The drunkard with money in his purse will be able to escape by payment of a fine, while a poor man who has exhausted his pecuniary resources in getting the impetus which leads him in the police court will have to be imprisoned. At the third offense equality is reached, and it is possible that the fear of two or three months in jail may deter a few turbulent persons from putting an enemy into their motor.

A Permanent Candidate.

The presentation of GROVER CLEVELAND as a free trade candidate for another Presidential canvass must have one result, irrespective of others. Another such trial would establish him permanently as the least of candidates from now until the time when age or illness shall make even the contemplation of his candidacy inadmissible. Until the free trade agitation is stopped, or Mr. CLEVELAND is stopped, they would have to stick together.

The idea of nominating Mr. CLEVELAND again rests on the same ground as his nomination last year, the second time of offering him as a candidate. He is the free trade champion beyond compare. Not even WILLIAM RUFUS MORRISON or ROGER QUARLES MILLS, whose political emotions outside of free trade have long since passed into inebriated dementia, would so much as dream of the seduction of a single delegate from GROVER CLEVELAND. They were tariff smashers before his fame crossed the limits of the ward where he lived in Buffalo, but he monopolizes the unapproachable prestige of having announced tariff smashing for his Presidential platform while in the President's office. Against such a feat of what consequence can be their

appeals to the free trade disciples through the medium of addresses to the school districts of Texas or Illinois, or even of speeches in Congress? No one can doubt the desirability of securing better than the two free trade war horses whose political training and honors were all acquired in the service and confidence of the Democracy.

If, in the face of his previous defeat, Mr. CLEVELAND should again be run by the free traders, it would be because they consider him as unique as ever, and the only possible man for such a campaign. After that there could be no change. He would have to continue his existence as the free trade figurehead, to be uncovered and paraded every four years and released to his own affairs between times. Election in office could not possibly be thought of. No cause could be assigned for it. Not even a refusal by the candidate to put up at the proper emergency a respectable amount for the canvass, say \$5,000 or \$10,000, could justify the sacrifice of the most eminent and undiluted representative of the movement. The free trade cry would have to be CLEVELAND forever, or nothing. And so on through the successive Presidential elections, in which the other side would do the electing.

The fanatic's zeal, however, is nothing if not irrepressible. Mr. CLEVELAND's health, also, is said to be pretty good.

Churches and Their Creeds.

It seems that the question of reviving the Westminster Confession of Faith so as to make it more in accordance with modern theological thought and opinion is to be brought up for discussion in the Presbyterian General Assembly now in session in New York. That standard is more than two hundred years old, and during that time the whole face of Protestant orthodoxy has changed; but it is not probable that the assembly will undertake to remodel the work of the Westminster divines to render it more congruous with the religious beliefs as they are at present.

Any full discussion of the subject would serve only to make prominent the declining reverence for its ancient standards of faith in even so conservative a communion as the Presbyterian. It would show that the body had drifted far away from its original theological moorings, and that the Westminster Confession was no longer its real anchor. Nominally it is accepted the same as of old, but practically it is not put to any important use.

In all the Protestant churches the same process has been going on more particularly during the last generation. The elaborate, metaphysical creeds of the past are passing out of thought, and the present tendency is to settle down to the simplest interpretation of the facts and doctrines of Christianity as of first consequence in promoting religious faith and stimulating the religious life. The members of the General Assembly who give heed to the Westminster Confession as an expression of their religious convictions or as a guide to their theological opinions are very few, probably. As one of them said in a sermon on Sunday, the age of creed making has gone by, and he might have added that the old venerable Westminster Confession in the minds of Presbyterians has gone also. It has become of little representative value. For that reason the Assembly will be likely to let it alone as not of intrinsic and vital consequence, but as a merely nominal bond of union.

Take, for instance, the doctrine of eternal punishment. It is no longer preached from Protestant pulpits as it was taught fifty years ago, even twenty years ago; and yet every orthodox communion retains the doctrine in its formal creed. The change which has come over the Protestant world within a very short time with respect to hell has been radical. The whole of the doctrine has been almost wholly from the Protestant vocabulary. It terrifies no longer the children in the Sunday schools, and starts no longer the sinners in the churches. Practically, they have lost their fear of the Lake of Fire and of the torments to which the old preachers consigned the damned. Formerly every sermon dwelt upon the anger, the vengeance, the severity of God, but now the prevailing theme is His mercy and loving kindness.

Yet we do not find any disposition on the part of the various communions to amend and revise their articles of faith to meet this revolutionary change. They let the old standards stand as they are, and let the new faith fall into practical disuse. The churches are going through a development which is following different lines from those laid down by the metaphysical creed makers of past times.

It does not help BENJAMIN HARRISON's case to retort in his behalf that GROVER CLEVELAND appointed his wife's cousin, BENJAMIN FOLBOW, to be Consul at Sheffield.

Since last Thursday Minnesota in the habit of having the readiness of eyes met have felt somewhat self-conscious and uncomfortable. On that day the SCHIFFER law providing new pains and penalties for drunkenness went into effect:

"Whoever becomes intoxicated by voluntarily drinking intoxicating liquors shall be deemed guilty of the crime of drunkenness, and shall be punished as follows: For the first offense, a fine of not less than \$10 nor more than \$40; or by imprisonment for not less than ten nor more than thirty days; for the second offense, imprisonment for not less than thirty nor more than sixty days; or by a fine of not less than \$20 nor more than \$50; for the third and all subsequent offenses, by imprisonment for not less than sixty nor more than ninety days."

A serious purpose to enforce this law is shown by the authorities, the police court now of the St. Paul and Minneapolis papers will be good reading. It is unfortunate that the penalty for the second offense is alternative. The drunkard with money in his purse will be able to escape by payment of a fine, while a poor man who has exhausted his pecuniary resources in getting the impetus which leads him in the police court will have to be imprisoned. At the third offense equality is reached, and it is possible that the fear of two or three months in jail may deter a few turbulent persons from putting an enemy into their motor.

Small confidence is to be placed in attempts to legislate men into temperance, and the force of public opinion is much stronger to prevent temperance than statutes can be. At the same time, if the new Minnesota law is strictly enforced, it will be a great benefit to the class of habitual drunkards, who, as the law cannot be helped or hindered by it. The duty of society to them is not to imprison them, but to put them into an inebriate asylum. To punish them is not to cure them. If the Minnesota law does not fall into contempt, if it enforces the edicts of temperance, but without respect, and not compulsion, should be the motive of temperance.

It will be interesting to see what the Minnesota police magistrates will hold to be the unfailing indications of intoxication. The motor will be run as carefully searched into when imprisonment is the punishment. The West Point, we believe, is that no man able to walk a crack is to be considered as drunk. But this rule puts the brains in the feet. To pronounce with distinctness the words "truly drunk" is another test not without value. The edicts of temperance police courts will doubtless furnish surer and more searching tests.

But is a law constitutional which can im-

prison a man for shutting himself up, let us say, in his own apartment and getting no good, but silently drunk, and disturbing nobody in any manner?

On Sunday morning the Rev. Dr. TALMAAGE of Brooklyn preached a sermon, in which he spoke of the glorious faces of worldlings and the unhappy look of even the successful worldlings to be seen in this city. "Not one in ten of them," he said, "looks happy. Take your stand," he exclaimed, "at 2 o'clock at the corner of Nassau and Wall streets, or at the corner of Canal street and Broadway, and see the agonized physiognomies. I saw one that Brother TALMAAGE saw standing at those places and observing the pedestrians, may have fallen into the error of contrasting their faces with his own face, which is apt to be lighted up with a smile at once unctious and seductive. Surely he cannot expect to behold such a placid and blissful expression on the countenances of the busy crowds that are to be seen hurrying along Wall street or Broadway at 2 o'clock in the afternoon. We fear that even many men in these crowds, who are as devout as Brother TALMAAGE himself, do not wear that expression all the time during business hours. Why, then, the 800 distinguished clergymen of Brother TALMAAGE's own denomination, who are now attending the sessions of the Presbyterian General Assembly here, have not all worn a celestial smile right straight along ever since they began their labors in this city. In fact, there is as much grudge in their faces as there is in the faces of the worldlings to be seen in Nassau street, Wall street, Canal street, and Broadway. Let Brother TALMAAGE take a look at the General Assembly with this idea in his mind the next time he visits it."

We wish to warn the Democracy that if they are making any calculations on any of the new States being Democratic they are likely to reckon without their host, Nations are free.

Well, what is the matter with Montana?

It is our duty to warn Col. ELLIOTT F. SHEPARD that symptoms of a strong bloom for Gen. FELIX AUBUS of Baltimore for the post of Minister to Russia have appeared. It is not probable that Gen. AUBUS would take that or any other office, but he has a long record of good and good men must know what is going on. We do not see how he can be spared from New York, but perhaps he will consider himself called to stop the Czar's Sunday reviews.

Mr. F. C. SLOZES, the mighty hunter, who returned to England recently under the impression that he had had a last farewell to African jungles, has already turned his back on civilization and is on the way to his old stamping grounds. After all, he enjoys life best in the big game regions of Africa. The hunting stories he told in print while in England will add to the widespread idea that the lion is not dangerous unless cornered, and that he had rather run away than tackle a man. SLOZES says this may be true of most lions, but that there are specimens of this lordly brute that, having once had the taste of human flesh, cultivate the habit of living on that sort of food as much as the lion himself. It is a lion to prey upon the natives. One or two lions of this sort are enough to produce the liveliest consternation in a large district, and unless the man eaters are soon killed the natives have to emigrate.

The disadvantage to a newspaper editor of not knowing the ways and liberties of politics shows, we blush to remark, in our esteemed contemporary, the *Cincinnati Enquirer*, when lately venturing upon this:

"The Sun of Wednesday had the following interesting editorial:

"Spokane Again."

"The Sun is evidently not aware that those to the manner born pronounce the name of the horse as it were spelled 'Spokane.'"

When the *Enquirer's* critic has dwelt long and studiously in the land of those Western sky riders who have been wandering through their solitudes beyond even the advance of the tomato can; has gone, in his companionship with the aborigines, beyond the quick but unsatisfactory sympathy of the universal sign language; has passed through the first ordeal preliminary to admission into the ranks of the tribe, has heard the echo of his gun in the death growls of the bear, the *Chupko*, and has lowered the horns of the *Niepo*, and has listened to the howls of the *Chupko* and the *Chienoko* both; has camped under the glorious Columbia gathers itself in readiness to leave this continent and submit to the embraces of the sea, and has slept also on a incidentally rewarded the Captain of the *Chupko*, and has culminated the great rocky Mountain divide of the Marías Pass, then let him come to THE SUN office and compare notes on geography and ethnology. Then we will give him some points on poetry, for, as the *Enquirer* says, to understand the poetry in his soul is not to forestall an American newspaper, even if it is west of Lake Erie.

Who says that no first-class Kings are going to be turned up at the Paris Exposition? That active and genial potentate, King DAVID, is known to go to go, and where he is known to go, he is known to go. He needs not to mourn the absence of the crowned nobles of Europe, King DAVID the Merry is a sufficient substitute.

The Essex Club of Boston gave a farewell feed on Saturday to Doctor and Minister Grover CLEVELAND, to be Consul at Sheffield, a natural emissary to Portugal. Gen. HARRISON, who is not a giant, seems to have gratified a certain dry sense of humor in sending Dr. LOHME and Mr. JOHN D. WASHINGTON, both massive and majestic chaps, to little Portugal and little Switzerland respectively. Dr. LOHME, by the way, speaks Portuguese with fluency and only a slight Cape Ann accent. He learned the language during a short visit to Provincetown. He has been commissioned by the New England Agricultural Society to try the experiment of grafting barbed wire on the cork tree with a view to producing corkerskins at reduced rates.

The Northeastern Kansas Editorial Association met at Minneapolis, Kansas, the other day, and resolved that "we declare Minneapolis a city of most beautiful proportions." Which is what the Minnesota Minneapolisians are doing night and day, in season and out of season, in health and in sickness, with money and without money, with Parliamentarianism and without Parliamentarianism, the Minneapolis of Kansas or the Minneapolis of Minnesota? To which shall the apple be given? Which grows the faster? Which blows the harder?

This word-spout occurred in the *Inter-Ocean* last Sunday:

"Master of language, who was able to pour forth a torrent of sparkling denunciation in massive sentences of classic purity—massed forces of rhetoric buried in torrents, antitheses, phrases—Clemens was yet again, on these occasions, to relieve the pent flood of turbulent emotion by the use of the 'protreptic.' A 'Hercule'! Not a soul-harrowing oath, but a noble one of objectionable import, and modest for the time."

We must draw this Chicago torrent. Clemens was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!" He avows in Latin and in Greek, but he never said "A Hercule!" That may be a favorite expletive in Chicago, but it wasn't in Rome. Clemens is the use of inventing scandalous names for the use of the party and was a poor enough shot at the party and was not a denarius that he never believed the pent flood of turbulent emotion by saying "A Hercule!"